UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,672	07/14/2008	Sung Jin Hwang	H1-0297	2297
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 06/04/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	HARDEE, JOHN R		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/594,672	HWANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN R. HARDEE	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	-				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
oloood in absordance with the places and of E	x parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	clection requirement				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
i) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09282006</u> . 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other:					
т арет тио(э)гинап Date <u>09202000</u> .					

Application/Control Number: 10/594,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of fullerenes in the reply filed on March 25, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a thorough search of any one of the inventions would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining inventions. This is not found persuasive because the restriction was made under Unity of Invention practice, and cited passage is not applicable. In addition, applicant has not stated why such a search would necessarily encompass a search of the other inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 4 and 5 are withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to embodiments non-elected with traverse. The remaining claims were searched and examined only to the extent that they read on the elected subject matter, as that was found not to be allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson, US 3,224,955. The reference discloses a process for making mineral oil

Application/Control Number: 10/594,672 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

lubricant for refrigeration. Paraffinic lubricating oil distillate is extracted with solvent and hydrogenated to produce a final refrigerator oil lubricant (see flowchart, col. 2, lines 1+). The examiner takes the position that the oil is made under conditions that do not produce fullerenes, the oil is distilled, which would leave fullerenes in the distillation pot, the compositions are dearomatized, which would remove aromatic fullerenes and hydrogenated, which would reduce C60 or C70 fullerene to hydrogenated species.

Between these considerations and the lack of any disclosure that the mineral oil does contain fullerenes, it is reasonable to assume that the oil is free of fullerenes, or contains less than 0.1% of same. It is well established that "less than" reads on zero. Regarding the product-by-process language of claim 6, applicant is reminded that a product by process is a product, and it is incumbent upon applicant to produce evidence that a different product arises from that of the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,672

Art Unit: 1796

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 1020030077095 (abstract). The reference is in Korean. It was supplied by applicants, so the applicants are assumed to be familiar with its contents. The abstract discloses a lubricant comprising a base oil, which may be mineral oil or synthetic oil in admixture with 0.1-5% of fullerene soot powder which contains 3-45% by weight of pure fullerene. At the lower end of the disclosed range, such an oil could contain 0.003% of fullerene. Synthetic oils and mineral oils are well known refrigeration lubricants. The reference does not disclose an oil which meets applicant's limitations with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation. However, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made to make such an oil, because such an oil can me made by following the teachings of the reference as outlined above. Regarding the product-by-process language of claim 6, applicant is reminded that a product by process is a

Application/Control Number: 10/594,672 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

product, and it is incumbent upon applicant to produce evidence that a different product arises from that of the prior art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Mr. Harold Pyon, may be reached at (571) 272-1498.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John R. Hardee/ Primary Examiner June 3, 2009